## Resilient Food Systems Infrastructure Grant Program Infrastructure Concept Project Proposal Scoring Rubric

## Title of Project:

SCORING CRITERIA	Maximum Points	Points Received
Distressed Communities Index Score		
1. Project Purpose	30	
<ul><li>a. How well does the applicant define the specific and existing issue, problem, or need the project will address?</li><li>b. Will the project provide a direct benefit to more than one Nebraska food producer by creating a new revenue stream for multiple producers or increasing the capacity of existing revenue streams for multiple producers?</li></ul>		
1a. Climate		
<ul> <li>a. Will the project develop, customize, or install climate-smart equipment that reduces greenhouse gas emissions, increases efficiency in water use, improves air and/or water quality, and/or meets one or more of USDA's climate action goals;</li> <li>YesNo</li> </ul>		
2. Objectives	15	
<ul> <li>a. Does the project address one or more of the priorities identified through NDA outreach:</li> <li>Distribution;</li> <li>Aggregation;</li> <li>Storage;</li> <li>Processing</li> <li>Supply chain coordination</li> </ul>		
3. Project Beneficiaries	15	
<ul> <li>a. Does the project benefit one or more of the following: <ul> <li>Underserved farmers and ranchers;</li> <li>New and beginning farmers or ranchers;</li> <li>Veteran producers;</li> <li>Small business in underserved community;</li> <li>Small and medium-sized enterprises that add options for consumers and producers;</li> <li>Cooperatives, farmer-, and worker-owned enterprises;</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		

<ul> <li>Opportunity for family-supporting job quality and treatment/safety of workers.</li> <li>Job creation;</li> <li>Community impact.</li> </ul>		
4. Other Support From Federal or State Grant Programs		
YesNo		
5. External Project Support		
YesNo		
6. Existing Project Information		
If the project builds upon an existing project, did the applicant define how this project differs from, compliments, or builds upon the previous work?		
YesNo		
7. Expected Performance Measures	15	
<ul> <li>a. Did the applicant provide one of the predetermined indicators listed under the identified Outcome 2 or Outcome 3?</li> <li>b. Did the applicant provide the quantifiable results for the indicator selected?</li> </ul>		
8. Budget Narrative	25	
<ul><li>a. Is the budget reasonable and consistent with the project's purpose, outcome, and indicator?</li><li>b. Is it feasible that the proposed work can be accomplished in</li></ul>		
<ul><li>three years?</li><li>c. Is it clearly identified and reasonable how the match will be met? Is signed verification of match commitment provided?</li></ul>		
TOTAL	100	